

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/006,305</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) PRUSSAK ET AL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Phillip Gambel</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1644</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/006,305	Applicant(s) PRUSSAK ET AL	Examiner Phillip Gambel	Art Unit 1644
Application No. 10/006,305	Applicant(s) PRUSSAK ET AL				
Examiner Phillip Gambel	Art Unit 1644				

All Participants:

(1) Phillip Gambel

(2) Stacy Taylor

Date of Interview: 9 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of record

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicant / examiner agreed to canceling withdrawn claims via an Examiner's Amendment to place application in condition for allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phillip Gambel/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)